

**PLEASE NOTE:** Meeting Recording for Breakout Room 2 is not available. Please see Breakout Room notes on next page to capture summary of discussion points generated from this room.

Thank you!

# Room 2

## Public Information Session

June 6th, 2022

## Breakout Room Discussion Summary Notes

1 minute

1

VISION

Quick Post: **First word** that comes to mind when you picture the parkway on Summit Ave.



	beautiful	trees	hikes	grand	shared	inviting
masterpiece	trees	unrushed	friendly	speeding cars	speed bikes	
unique	historic	majestic	not safe	trees	connected	
cenmter piece	shady	pot holes	classy			

1 minute

2

DESIGN

"In 50 years, this parkway...."



THINK + SHARE

car free	preserved	historic	benches	shady	historic	
accessible	disrepair lack of funding	connected	land donated by residents	well travled	increased density	
local gem	beautiful ride	kid friendly	community friendly	walker friendly	parking friendly	
residental	untrippable sidewalks	breath of fresh air	residental	mutil modal	cared for and work of art	

# Public Information Session

June 6th, 2022

Breakout Room Discussion Summary Notes

# Room 2

## OVERALL CORRIDOR FEEDBACK

should function for residents who are tax payers. there is plenty of space and we can't maintain the corridor now.

residents donated land. Should be called preliminary

biking is primary means to get around town. priority should be the safety of all users. a separated bike lane should be priority and prefers one way iwth tabled crossings. Similar to European cities. Lexington could consider ped scramble.

Why does this have to be a regional trail. it will destroy the corridor for people, bikes and vehicles.

Safety should be highest priority. Lowest should be street parking. Rely on engineering to determine one or two way.

Safety is priority and tends to avoid summit with kids. speeding cars is issue and reducing road width should help. Maintenance could be reduce with trail.

This is a historic district and questions the placement of regional trail. We want to preserve corridor and not a significant place for trail. Process is not engaging people to think together. Safety issues are poor maintenance.

Regional trails are generally in areas that don't intersect streets or driveways and this is a disconnect. Why are no other east/west routes being considered. Concerned about historic streetscape. Proof that this proposal is better/safer than repaving.

Community space: running biking shared. Doesn't think residents own summit. Riding down summit can be risk. Need to factor in residents regarding one or two way.

Priority as a cyclist. Summit is functioning as a regional trail. Space to commute and safe because it is plowed and no potholes. Space for everyone.

Safety is paramount. Trees provide unique character. not sure trail can be built without killing trees. Not sure summit should be regional trail and feels like it has been decided.