



CITY OF SAINT PAUL

Christopher B. Coleman, Mayor

25 West Fourth Street
Saint Paul, MN 55102

Telephone: 651-266-6700
Facsimile: 651-228-3220

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Monday, April 9, 2012, 4:00 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

All meetings are held in the City Hall Annex 13th floor

Conference room at 25 West 4th Street in Saint Paul

1. Staff updates (10 min)
 - a. Jefferson progress – Emily Erickson, Public Works
 - b. Complete Streets Street Design Manual – Anton Jerve, PED
2. Review of District 1 Transportation Plan, Recommend Planning Commission release for public hearing – Christina Morrison, PED (30 minutes)
3. Briefing on Central Corridor Transit Service Study – Scott Thompson & Jill Hentges, Metro Transit (50 min)

Upcoming Transportation Committee Meetings

- April 23 – Northwest Quadrant Transportation Study update
- May 7
- May 21

Meetings are open to the public. The Chair may allow five minutes for informal public comment (from non-committee members) at the beginning of each agenda as needed. Additional time may be allocated for comments or further discussion at the discretion of the Chair. Meetings will be cancelled if there is not a quorum expected, or if there are no agenda items. For additional information on the Transportation Committee of the Planning Commission, please visit our website at bit.ly/StPaulTC or contact Christina Morrison at christina.morrison@ci.stpaul.mn.us or 651-266-6546.

Transportation Committee Staff Report

Committee date: 4-9-12

Project Name	District 1 Transportation Plan
Geographic Scope	District 1
Ward(s)	7
District Council(s)	1
Project Description	District 1 is completing updates to chapters of their district plan, starting with the Transportation Chapter.
Project Contact	Christina Morrison, PED
Contact email/phone	Christina.morrison@ci.stpaul.mn.us , 651-266-6546
Lead Agency/Department	PED
Purpose of Project/Plan	Outline transportation improvements in D1 through policies and projects. The district plan will be adopted as an addendum to the City's Comprehensive Plan.
Planning References	Comp Plan T1.1, 2.1, 2.4, 2.6, 2.9, 3.3, 3.4, 3.8, 3.11, 3.13, 4.4, 4.7
Project stage	Planning
General Timeline	Adoption in summer 2012
District Council position	Support
Level of Committee Involvement	Advise & consent
Previous Committee action	None
Level of Public Involvement	Involve (through D1)
Public Hearing	June 15
Public Hearing Location	Planning Commission
Primary Funding Source(s)	NA
Cost	NA

Staff recommendation	Recommend for release
Action item requested of the Committee	Recommend that the Planning Commission release the plan for public review and hold a hearing on June 15, 2012.
Committee recommendation	<i>To be filled in at the meeting</i>
Committee vote	<i>To be filled in at the meeting</i>

Approved 1/23/12

District 1 Transportation Plan

Intro to overall plan:

The transportation network within our district is a part of a regional system. What happens regionally with transportation affects our local area. This plan must consider those impacts and provide a framework for us to assure that the effects are positive for us.

In this plan we consider all modes of transportation and the needs of all community members, including elders and children, the disabled and the able-bodied, all economic classes, recreational travelers and commuters, and members of all cultural communities.

We see transportation as a vital mechanism to drive economic well-being of both residents and businesses in this neighborhood, and recognize the intimate ties between transportation and land use. This plan is created in recognition of, and incorporates, previously approved land use plans, including the Mississippi River Corridor Standards, the Highwood Plan, the Sun Ray-Suburban Small Area Plan, the White Bear Avenue Plan, the Red Rock Corridor Station Area Plan, and a variety of parks and trails plans listed in Appendix A. It is created within the context of the 2010 St. Paul Comprehensive Plan.

A healthy neighborhood is dependent on both a healthy business district and economic opportunities for residents, both of which are dependent on a strong and connected transportation system. A part of the economic impact of transportation is the funding for projects to build out those transportation systems. We recognize that funding for all forms of transportation has been and will likely continue to be challenged by constraints on available public funding. We believe that public funding should be limited to transportation that is accessible to the broad general public. Public funds should not be used for private transportation facilities or publicly owned transportation facilities that have long-term exclusive leases for private use unless the funds are fully reimbursed through lease payments. Diversion of funds for private use diverts funding from much needed public facilities and creates an unfair competitive advantage among competing businesses. With this understanding, the District 1 Community Council will seek out opportunities to assure that public funding finds its way to our neighborhoods so that our residents and businesses can participate in the economic development that arises from such projects with public benefit.

In addition to its economic implications, transportation has a strong environmental component: we see transportation as a way to create and maintain healthier natural and social settings in our district. We focus on ways to increase the livability of our neighborhoods through developing a healthy transportation system. A healthy transportation system is one that embodies connectedness among and within all modes for moving about the area. This plan will attempt to balance specificity of projects with overall flexibility in order to accommodate a changing political and economic environment while meeting neighborhood needs. Our overall goal with this transportation

Approved 1/23/12

plan is to build on the positive of what we have or want to have, and to work to minimize or reduce the negative.

Contents:

Pedestrian Travel

Biking

Vehicular Access (Cars)

Transit

 Regional

 Local

Commercial Transportation

 Trains

 Trucks

Pedestrian Travel

Walking through a neighborhood provides abundant benefits to a community and its members. For the purpose of this document, walking includes transportation by wheelchair or other assistive modes, and pedestrians include persons who use these modes for individual transportation. Having more feet on the street slows traffic, builds a sense of community, and deters crime. Walking for short trips saves money over driving and it promotes health.

District 1 is a large, predominantly residential district with abundant parks offering recreational walking opportunities. It has concentrated business districts in only a few places, which were designed originally to be accessed primarily via automobiles. Its residential character features areas of either relatively low density, single-family homes or high density, multi-family housing, often along extra long blocks. As a result of this distinct combination of variables, the area is not ideally configured to encourage walking as a means to get from place to place.

The goal of this plan is to expand opportunities throughout the year for all residents in all portions of the district, regardless of age or physical ability, to choose walking for their local trips; for recreational purposes; for accessing other modes of transportation, especially transit, for mid- to long-range trips; and to increase their health and economic well-being, as well as for creating a safer community.

Objective 1: establish zoning throughout the district where appropriate to create a more pedestrian-friendly community;

 Strategy 1: work with interns and city staff to complete 40-acre studies to assess current zoning;

 Strategy 2: work with individual landowners and neighborhoods to identify current incompatibilities between zoning and land use;

Approved 1/23/12

Strategy 3: support use of Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) and pedestrian scaled projects as outlined in the Sun Ray- Suburban Small Area Plan, and the White Bear Avenue Small Area Plan.

Objective 2: complete the sidewalk network emphasizing accessibility and safety for all community members (see figure W-1):

Strategy 1: work with neighbors affected by RSVP projects to include sidewalk and lighting upgrades;

Strategy 2: apply for CIB funding to upgrade sidewalks, lighting and pedestrian trails and overpasses.

Strategy 3: work with public organizations (schools, rec centers, the library) and community members on Safe Routes projects and to develop Complete Streets in accord with the MnDOT standards throughout the district;

Strategy 4: work with neighbors and public entities to complete the trail network where it is the alternative to a sidewalk network.

Objective 3: repair sidewalks so they are smooth, and educate residents and businesses to keep them clear of low-hanging or over-hanging vegetation, snow and ice so that all users can safely access the sidewalks as well as the homes and businesses along them:

Strategy 1: survey sidewalk conditions throughout the district by enlisting residents, block clubs and volunteer groups;

Strategy 2: apply for CIB funding to upgrade sidewalks, lighting, bridges and pedestrian overpasses;

Strategy 3: distribute information about residents' responsibilities to keep sidewalks clear through new neighbor packets and other outreach efforts; work with such groups as St. Paul Smart Trips to make this information available.

Objective 4: assure that all pedestrians can safely cross streets and access key destinations throughout the district and throughout the year:

Strategy 1: establish marked crosswalks at key intersections;

Strategy 2: educate pedestrians and motorists about safety concerns when transportation modes mingle through use of newspaper articles, blogs, partnerships with schools and other organizations;

Strategy 3: support traffic-calming efforts within neighborhoods, and work with schools, rec centers and the library on Safe Routes programs;

Strategy 4: work with neighbors and city staff on an on-going basis to identify and address locations where safety of pedestrians is a concern;

Strategy 5: work with developers on proposed projects to increase the pedestrian accessibility and orientation in business districts;

Strategy 6: work with Metro Transit to locate transit stops along major pedestrian routes;

Strategy 7: work with Ramsey County and other partners to install and maintain benches at key locations and appropriate intervals along major pedestrian routes;

Strategy 8: work with MnDOT to make the I-94 pedestrian overpass wheelchair accessible;

Approved 1/23/12

Strategy 9: work with Parks, property owners to assure that public sidewalks are kept clear of obstructions, including snow.

Objective 5: provide recreational walking opportunities for residents and visitors throughout the district:

Strategy 1: support completion of pedestrian/bike path along Lower Afton Rd through Battle Creek Park;

Strategy 2: support completion of park trails that access the riverfront throughout the district and to Pigs Eye Lake, and of river corridor and river bluff trails, including the system between districts and municipalities as outlined in the park plans listed in Appendix A;

Strategy 3: support maintenance of pedestrian paths through and around all parks, including during the winter months.

Examples of Locations Needing Attention with respect to Pedestrian Concerns:

- 1) see figure W-1 for incomplete sidewalk system – includes south side of Burns Avenue between Ruth St. and Suburban Ave.; Pederson St. between Conway Rec Center and 5th Street; around Suburban Pond, especially along south side of Suburban Avenue;
- 2) Pedestrian crossings at highway 61 and Burns Ave; Ruth and Burns; McKnight and Burlington; Etna and 3rd St.; White Bear Ave and I-94; Ruth St. and I-94; streets near Harding High School; 3rd St and White Bear; White Bear Ave and Minnehaha Ave; McKnight and I-94/Old Hudson.
- 3) Bridges over I-94 at White Bear Ave and Ruth Street;
- 4) Pedestrian overpass along I-94 near Highway 61 – this overpass is currently not wheelchair accessible (2011);
- 5) Snow removal of public sidewalks around and within public areas such as Conway Park (Ruth Street);
- 6) Parks, bluff and riverfront trails as shown in figure W-2, but not exclusive to these.

Next page: FIGURE W-1: Gaps in the sidewalk system for District 1. Please note that the Highwood Plan places restrictions on adding sidewalks in the southern part of the district. Areas lacking sidewalks are shown in yellow.

FIGURE W2 a – d: Trail maps for parks areas in and around District 1. The trails shown in these maps are expected to extend into District 1. Parks plans emphasize establishing a trail system along the bluffs among Mounds, Battle Creek, Taylor, Henry and Fish Creek Parks and the Highwood Nature Preserve, and to complete the trail system along the river.

The next 4 pages represent trail maps from established parks plans. Maps - not included, but still incorporated by reference - are trail maps from the Great River Passage Plan.

Biking

Approved 1/23/12

Biking, like walking, through a neighborhood provides abundant benefits to a community and its members. For the purposes of this document, we recognize that bicyclists vary in terms of age, experience, comfort-level interacting with motor vehicles, and reasons for cycling. Because bikers move more slowly along the streets and because they tend to be more attentive to the environment through which they are moving, their presence in a neighborhood helps build a sense of community, and deters crime. Their presence also tends to slow motor vehicle traffic. Biking for short and mid-range trips saves money over driving and it promotes health.

The City of Saint Paul has long neglected the eastside when implementing biking facilities (figure B-1). District 1 has a minimal bike path/trail network (figure B-2). Most of the current bike trails are through the parks connecting to the Sam Morgan trail along the Mississippi River. District 1 is also not included in the Nice Ride program nor has it been a focus of St. Paul Smart Trips, a non-profit funded by the Metropolitan Council to act as the city's TMO (Transportation Management Organization) that encourages non-motorized transportation.

The goal of this plan is to expand opportunities for all residents to choose biking for their local and mid-range trips as well as for recreational purposes; to connect bike lanes and trails to destinations within and beyond the district and also to regional commuter routes; and to increase residents' health and economic well-being, as well as creating a safer community.

Objective 1: provide access to bicycling as a transportation mode to all members of the community wishing to participate:

- Strategy 1: work with Nice Ride to bring bike sharing to our district;
- Strategy 2: work with partners who can provide free or low-cost bicycles to low-income residents;
- Strategy 3: work with potential business partners to bring a bike shop to the district;
- Strategy 4: work with local schools and community facilities on Safe Routes programs;
- Strategy 5: support snow-removal from bike lanes, trails and paths.

Objective 2: increase connectivity of bike trails and lanes within and beyond the boundaries of the district;

- Strategy 1: submit CIB proposals for trails and lanes in the district and partner with adjacent eastside district councils on proposals for increased bike facilities across the eastside, including use of bicycle lanes, bicycle boulevards, and more innovative bicycle facilities such as cycle tracks;
- Strategy 2: work with St. Paul Smart Trips, or other organizations, to develop biking maps of the district;
- Strategy 3: work with the city and MnDOT to make the I-94 pedestrian overpass bicycle accessible;
- Strategy 4: identify key destinations for bicyclists and assure access to them via safe bike routes (schools, library, business areas);

Strategy 5: use mill and overlay projects or the RSVP process as opportunities to establish, connect and complete a system of bike lanes and paths through the district;

Strategy 6: provide options for east-west and north-south travel by bicyclists with different tolerances for interacting with motorized vehicles; work with City to identify and implement these options;

Strategy 7: support bicycle trails and lanes as outlined in park plans listed in Appendix A.

Objective 3: increase the number of bike facilities in the district:

Strategy 1: work with Nice Ride to establish Nice Ride stations on the eastside and in the district;

Strategy 2: work with businesses and organizations to install bike racks at key destinations;

Strategy 3: include consideration of biking in all business developments when planning for who is coming to the proposed businesses and how they are getting there.

Objective 4: increase the safety of bikers in the district:

Strategy 1: see strategies under Objectives 1 and 2;

Strategy 2: inform and educate residents about rules of the road as they apply to bicycles and their interactions with both pedestrians and motor vehicles;

Strategy 3: address the design of major north-south connections for bicycle safety (McKnight Road, Ruth Street, White Bear Avenue);

Strategy 4: address bicycle crossing at Highway 61 and Burns Ave, at Warner and Fish Hatchery roads, and at Upper Afton and Battle Creek Trail;

Strategy 5: hold bicycle rodeos and safety fairs periodically for children in the district in collaboration with the police department and other partners;

Strategy 6: work with partners to provide safety equipment for all persons who cannot afford to purchase this equipment themselves;

Strategy 7: work with city to keep bike lanes clear of snow and debris throughout the year;

Strategy 8: work with schools, rec centers and the library on Safe Routes programs.

Objective 5: provide recreational biking opportunities for residents and visitors:

Strategy 1: support completion of pedestrian/bike path along Lower Afton Rd through Battle Creek Park and other park routes as outlined in plans listed in Appendix A;

Strategy 2: support inclusion of the district in the Grand Round bicycle tours by establishing an off-shoot route through the district from Johnson Parkway and the Sam Morgan Trail, and inclusion of the off-shoot route with organizers of the Grand Round Tour;

Strategy 3: support maintenance of biking paths through all parks, including during the winter months.

Approved 1/23/12

Objective 6: establish an eastside coalition for bicycle planning to complete the bike route system across the eastside of St. Paul.

Examples of Locations Needing Attention with respect to Bicycling Concerns:

- 1) see figure B-1 and B-2 for incomplete bike path system – improve McKnight/I-94 intersection for bicycles; connect bike routes to Furness bikeway, Johnson Parkway; I-94 pedestrian overpass; Upper Afton; Burns Ave; 3rd Street; Margaret Street;
- 2) Businesses/organizations where bicycle racks would be encouraged – churches, recreation centers, shopping centers, library

FIGURES B1 and B2 follow on the next two pages.

FIGURE B1: City of Saint Paul bike facility map. This map shows existing and planned bike lanes across the city. Please note the wider spacing of bike lanes on the eastside. However, note as of this writing, the City was developing its first comprehensive bicycle plan, which will recommend bicycle facilities at half-mile intervals throughout the city, including the eastside, in accord with Saint Paul Transportation Plan Policy 3.4.

FIGURE B2: Bike facilities in District 1.

Vehicular Access (Cars)

A large proportion of D1 residents use motor vehicles as their primary means of transportation (84% according to 2010 Census). As a result, streets carry local traffic, and collectors and arterials (and I-94) also carry a share of regional traffic through our district. D1 needs to work with the City, County and State to ensure that the roadways in our district are well-maintained and safe, but also to ensure that they provide connections for our residents and for others to destinations within D1. At the same time, roadways need to provide efficient access to the regional transportation system and to destinations throughout the Metro area.

The goal of this plan is to provide streets that offer safe, well-maintained vehicular connections for residents to their homes, to businesses and to recreational destinations in D1, but also provide efficient access to the regional transportation system and to destinations throughout the Metro area. A subsidiary goal is to reduce the number of local trips by car that residents take. The strategies for other modes of transportation in this plan are the means to reach this subsidiary goal.

Objective 1: ensure that major transportation improvements along I-94 and TH61 serve our neighborhood, not just commuter traffic through our neighborhood, and that these improvements are planned in a comprehensive way:

Strategy 1: Consider improving Old Hudson Road to create a more pedestrian oriented street that will serve the new uses along it and prevent its use as a freeway frontage road. Old Hudson should be considered for changes as a Complete Street, including in conjunction with planning for the Gateway Corridor Project.

Approved 1/23/12

Strategy 2: Work with MnDOT to make the southbound I-94 exit to McKnight Road safer; advocate for a better eastbound entrance to I-94 at McKnight (in Maplewood).

Strategy 3: Improve access and traffic flow at Sun Ray; look at a better circulation system in conjunction with the development of a transit hub at Sun Ray to serve the Gateway Corridor.

Objective 2: ensure that D1 has Complete Streets that safely accommodate vehicular traffic, bicycles and pedestrians in accord with the MnDot standards:

Strategy 1: Provide input on the City's Complete Streets plan, propose D1 streets as pilot projects, and work with the City's final design guide and action plan to improve D1's transportation system;

Strategy 2: Work with MnDOT to improve vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle connections on McKnight;

Strategy 3: Improve access and traffic flow at Sun Ray; look at a better circulation system in conjunction with the development of an enhanced transit hub at Sun Ray (e.g., to serve the Gateway Corridor);

Strategy 4: Minimize and consolidate curb cuts along Suburban, and any other commercial locations as redevelopment occurs.

Objective 3: provide better access and more aesthetic connections from I-94 ramps to neighborhoods:

Strategy 1: Examine traffic management at Old Hudson Road, Ruth and the freeway ramps on either side of the Ruth Street bridge, and similar locations on White Bear Avenue. Determine whether there are better ways to channel traffic and/or provide access to businesses on Old Hudson Road.

Objective 4: improve the aesthetics of heavily traveled neighborhood streets such as White Bear, Ruth, Suburban, and McKnight:

Strategy 1: Use landscaping and other traffic-calming measures to better manage traffic and excessive speeds.

Strategy 2: Improve aesthetics and create an entrance to the neighborhood at White Bear and Ruth freeway exits; use these areas to bring drivers into neighborhood shopping areas as well as serve local traffic.

Strategy 3: Redesign and reconfigure the local street network to provide a connection between the three nodes of Sun Ray, Suburban and White Bear areas.

Objective 5: reduce speeding along all streets and traffic noise along local residential streets:

Strategy 1: apply alternative, low cost and effective traffic calming techniques as neighborhoods desire in conjunction with RSVP and other street improvement projects;

Strategy 2: work with Public Works and the Police Department to educate motorists about the laws, and to enforce them in key locations identified by residents.

Approved 1/23/12

Objective 6: match parking capacity and need within the neighborhood business districts.

Strategy 1: Prepare a utilization study of existing surface parking lots to assess actual parking needs and to identify excess impervious surfaces.

Strategy 2: Promote shared use of parking at Sun Ray and along Suburban Avenue to maximize land available to development.

Transit

Transit is an important means to connect residents to the broader metropolitan region, but it is also used for local trips within our large district. Transit is the primary transportation mode for many residents, including children, the elderly and the disabled. A robust transit system enables residents to participate fully in the economic and civic life of our neighborhood and our city. A transit system will also help to assure that our business districts remain vital and that all residents have access to them.

The goal of this plan is to provide high quality transit service to residents, employers and employees of the district.

Objective 1: provide high quality transit services to, within and from District 1 for residents and commuters:

Strategy 1: support the Gateway Corridor in an alignment that includes a station at Sun Ray and an alignment to the west that preserves residential neighborhoods.

The Gateway Corridor is important in providing regional transportation connections for D1 residents, but is also critically important to encouraging redevelopment and a strong economic center for D1.

Strategy 2: work with Metro Transit to provide a robust feeder system to both the Lower Afton commuter Park and Ride to reduce single occupancy vehicles from the neighborhood at the park and ride, and to the transit hub at Sun Ray.

Strategy 3: Support the Red Rock Station Area Plan (and re-naturalizing the current park and ride site).

Objective 2: improve and expand local service

Strategy 1: Advocate for a robust feeder system to provide access to transit hubs, including Sun Ray, Lower Afton, and any other connections including LRT, BRT, express bus or local lines.

Strategy 2: Support the continuation of Metro Mobility to serve local residents and work with Metro Mobility to ensure that D1 residents are aware of, and know how to use Metro Mobility services.

Strategy 3: Advocate for better service connecting to local and regional destinations for shopping and work, e.g., Lower Afton Park and Ride, Downtown, Maplewood Mall, Woodbury;

Strategy 4: Improve vehicular and pedestrian and bicycle connections to the Sun Ray transit hub. Such changes may require land use/zoning changes or acquiring property to open up access to this area;

Strategy 5: Work with Metro Transit and Saint Paul Police Department to maintain cleanliness and policing at the Sun Ray Transit Center.

Strategy 6: Support improved transit service between Sun Ray transit center and other communities within St. Paul and the East Metro, using a combination of local and regional transit options.

Strategy 7: Support higher density transit-oriented design in areas readily accessible to regional and local transit service. This may be particularly important in areas likely to undergo redevelopment near transit hubs and stations.

Strategy 8: Support mixed use development in TOD areas, including additional residential uses in areas that are now devoted to commercial. Mixed use development may provide a more balanced option than maintaining the large amount of commercially-zoned land in D1 that may no longer be required by the marketplace.

Commercial Traffic

Moving commercial traffic within and through a district is a necessary part of a vibrant business community, but must be done in the most fuel-efficient manner with the least impact on neighborhoods and the environment. For the purposes of this document, commercial traffic includes trucks, trains and barge traffic.

District 1 has very limited retail/service business districts focused primarily along I-94 and at nodes along the major north-south routes of McKnight Road and White Bear Avenue. In addition to these retail/service districts, we are home to industrial areas along the Mississippi River and (as of 2011) at Minnehaha Avenue and Hazelwood Street. Major rail lines run parallel to the river in the southern part of the district. River barge traffic also has an impact on the district. These different modes of commercial traffic present distinct opportunities and challenges to the district, which is otherwise predominantly residential in character.

The goal of this plan is to assure the success of businesses in our district by moving their goods to and from the commercial nodes via the transportation type, route and zoning plan that provide the greatest fuel efficiencies, lowest pollution emissions, greatest year around reliability, and least impact on the environment and our neighborhoods.

Objective 1: reduce commercial traffic in residential and recreational areas:

Strategy 1: identify areas where commercial traffic is encroaching on residential and recreational/park areas;

Strategy 2: work with Public Works to delineate truck routes with signage through the district;

Strategy 3: work with PED and developers to find an alternative use for the Cemstone site at Minnehaha and Hazelwood that is compatible with the residential character of the area;

Strategy 4: work with neighbors in block clubs and other local groups on a voluntary basis to encourage single trash haulers for their neighborhoods to minimize wear and tear on streets, and reduce noise and pollution.

Approved 1/23/12

Objective 2: reduce noise from CPRail and other rail operations along Highway 61;
Strategy 1: work with local, state and federal politicians to modify operations that result in squealing brakes at the switching yard;
Strategy 2: work with local, state and federal authorities on general noise reduction alternatives.

Objective 3: increase safety in the interactions of commercial traffic and other traffic, especially with pedestrians and bicyclists:
Strategy 1: Childs Road and bike trail – promote redesign of the Warner Road/Childs Road intersection;
Strategy 2: Work with MnDOT to improve vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle connections on McKnight;
Strategy 3: Work with Sun Ray management and Public Works on traffic flow at the shopping center to minimize truck and pedestrian/bicycle conflicts.

Objective 4: reduce airport noise impacts:
Strategy 1: support continued monitoring and abatement efforts of noise from the airport;
Strategy 2: support District 1 representation on the Downtown Airport Advisory Council.

Examples of Locations Needing Attention with respect to Commercial Traffic Concerns:

- 1) Sun Ray Shopping Center, Suburban Avenue and the White Bear Avenue business nodes;
- 2) Minnehaha and Hazelwood;
- 3) Highway 61 and I-94;
- 4) CPRail switching yard and rail tracks, including capacity concerns;
- 5) Riverfront, including Pigs Eye and Little Pigs Eye Lakes and park lands currently not easily accessible to the public.

Appendix A: Parks Plans whose transportation components are incorporated into this plan by reference

1. St. Paul Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan and the St. Paul Systems Plan
2. Grand Rounds Master Plan
3. Great River Passage Master Plan
4. Highwood Nature Preserve Management Recommendations
5. Warner Road Bridge Park (in Great River Passage Master Plan)
6. Fish Creek Park Plan
7. Henry Park Plan – Community plan
8. Bruce Vento Plan
9. Trout Brook Plan
10. Indian Mounds Regional Park Master Plan

Central Corridor Transit Service Study Update

Presenters: Scott Thompson, Senior Service Planner, Metro Transit , 612-349-7774, Scott.Thompson@metrotransit.org; and Jill Hentges, Community Outreach, Metro Transit, 612-349-7318, Jill.Hentges@metrotransit.org.

Transit Service Study Overview

- Comprehensive review of the transit network
- Integrate new facilities & future plans
- Improve connections & reliability
 - Enhanced timed - transfers especially in off-peak
 - Better neighborhood to neighborhood connections
 - Grid route network where appropriate
- Simplify system
- Faster service to major destinations
- Optimize efficiency of routes & schedules

Implemented Sector Studies

- Northeast Metro Transit Restructuring Plan (Sectors 1 & 2) 2000 & 2001
- Three Cities Transit Restructuring Plan (Sector 7) 2001
- Central-South Transit Plan (Sector 5) 2004
- Northwest Metro Transit Planning Study (Sector 8) 2007

A map shows the eight sectors.

Key Considerations in Central Corridor

- Strong community interest in future transit service, bus and rail
- Commitment to Central Corridor transit study before LRT service begins
- Ridership forecast projects large volume of transfers between bus and rail

Central Corridor Transit Service Study Area

A map shows the geographic scope of the project: Larpentuer Ave on the north, 35E on east (includes downtown), Mississippi River on south and west. Study also includes downtown Minneapolis south to Lake Street (east of Hiawatha LRT) and north to Hennepin Ave.

Modeled Central Corridor Bus Route Network

A map shows future bus routes connecting to the Central Corridor, including new north south routes on Fairview, Lexington, Hamline & Victoria.

Central Corridor Existing Conditions

- Study Area
 - **246,170** population; **357,587** jobs
 - **14** colleges and universities;
 - 95,000+** students
 - **113,378** housing units
- Existing Service
 - **14** local, **9** express routes

- **86,000** average weekday rides
- **(1/3** of the total weekday rides system wide)
- Service Assessment Results
 - Good existing route network design and coverage
 - Some gaps in grid network
 - Lack of adequate frequency and span of service on some routes
- Existing conditions report online www.metrotransit.org/central-corridor-study

A map shows a sample of daily boardings, in this case, on Route 65 weekday boardings.

Transit Service Study Timeline

- Data Collection: 2010
- Evaluate Existing Conditions: Early 2012
- Stakeholder / Community Engagement: Jan. – March
- Concept Plan Development: March – May
- Concept Plan Review, Public Comment: June
- Plan Revision : July – September
- Final Plan Approval: Oct – Nov.
- Service Change Implementation: 2013-2014
- Ongoing Service Evaluation: Ongoing

Community Engagement & Outreach

- Strong desire to better engage our agency colleagues and community partners in our process
- Coordinate with existing (standing) meetings
- Better provide useful information to support tremendous outreach efforts
- Concentrated effort to extend effectiveness and reach
- Ultimately, the project is strengthened by the **many fingerprints** it carries
- Strong desire to engage the diverse community in transit service planning BEFORE planning begins
- COORDINATE with existing corridor outreach efforts
- Broaden ongoing RELATIONSHIP between Metro Transit and the community
- CONNECT with key community organizations

Community Outreach

- Website with online survey
- Over 40 meetings with neighborhoods, businesses, agency colleagues
- Three open houses in March
 - Project information
 - Existing service
 - Origin/destination exercise
- Trusted Advocate Project

Trusted Advocate Project

- Nine trusted advocates hired from communities traditionally under-represented in public process
- New Americans from Africa, Persons with varied abilities, African America, Southeast Asian, Renters
- Communities are very transit literate
- Requires high level of **TRUST**
- Funding from Central Corridor Funders Collaborative